UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,712	06/23/2003	Michael Francis Dube	14150-00601	5751
	7590 02/22/200 E & WARREN LLP	EXAMINER		
3050 K STREE		FELTON, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/600,712	DUBE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL J. FELTON	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 61-89 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 61-89 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/as Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. re: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected on a begance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/05/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/05/2007 has been entered.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the integral filter element must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Application/Control Number: 10/600,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 63, 73, 74, 80, 84, and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for the steam bonding of cellulose acetate. There is however support for steam bonding of cellulose acetate that has already been treated with triacetin plasticizer (paragraph 0059).
- 6. Claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/600,712 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for a filter element formed of a single integral material, and as a result, there is no direction for one of ordinary skill to practice for making such a device or to have understood that it was part of the described invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 61, 64, 65, 67-72, 75, 76, 78, 81-83, 85, 87, and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irby Jr. et al. (US 3,390,686) in view of Dorsey (US 5,549,124).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,712

Art Unit: 1791

10. Regarding claim 61, 64, 65, 67, 70, 78, 81, and 82 Irby Jr. et al. disclose a coaxial cigarette filter with an outer filter material, an inner filter material (figure 2; col. 4, 1-17), a cavity within the inner material that contains a generally spherical capsule composed of gelatin that contains a diluting agent (water) and flavoring, metal salts, activated charcoal (for altering smoke composition), and/or medicines (col. 3, 18-61). Irby Jr. et al. also teach that cellulose acetate tow, or other filter materials used, can be treated with a stiffening material (col. 3, 11-17) and that the filter should be resilient and return substantially to its tubular shape (col. 1, 49-55). However, Irby Jr. et al. do not disclose that the cavity should extend to the tobacco rod or away form the tobacco rod.

Page 5

- 11. Dorsey discloses a capsule in a cavity that contains a second region surrounding the capsule that connects to the tobacco rod and the filter element, and that when broken, releases fluid to wet the tobacco and the filter element. The wet tobacco helps filter the smoke as does the wetted filter material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the invention of Irby Jr. et al. could be used with the cavity of Dorsey to deploy the liquid contained in the capsule to the tobacco (as taught by Dorsey), to the filter material (as taught by Irby Jr. et al.), or to both (as taught by Dorsey) (abstract, col. 1-col. 2).
- 12. Regarding claims 68, 75, 83, and 87 Irby Jr. et al. show in figure 2 a capsule contained in the cavity by the enclosure of the cavity. Although it is unclear if this is a crimp, and if so, what shape crimp is made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to close the cavity by using the inner filter material as shown by Irby et al. in figure 2, and using a mechanical restraint such as crimping, twisting, or adding

additional filter material. The cross shape is not disclosed by Irby Jr. et al., however there is no indication that the shape disclosed confers any benefit and is considered to be a design choice. For example, a crimp with on axis, or a crimp with 8 axes could be used for the same purpose.

Page 6

- 13. Regarding claims 69 and 85, Irby Jr. et al. show that the cavity contains a conical cross section (for at least part of the cavity as shown in figure 2).
- 14. Regarding claim 71, Irby Jr. et al. disclose a capsule with about a 3.5 mm diameter (0.12 inches) but don't indicate the size of the cavity. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the cavity would have to be larger than the capsule (col. 3, 18-61).
- 15. Regarding claim 72, Irby Jr. et al. show the cavity occupying approximately half the length of the filter.
- 16. Regarding claims 76 and 88, Irby Jr. et al. indicate supplying medicines and/or flavors in the capsule. The examiner considers breath freshening agents to be either medicines or flavors, and are therefore obvious over Irby Jr. et al.
- 17. Claims 62, 77, 79, and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irby Jr. et al. (US 3,390,686) and Dorsey (US 5,549,124) as applied to claim 61 above, in further view of the applicant's admitted prior art.
- 18. Regarding claims 62 and 79, Irby Jr. et al. and Dorsey do not indicate treating cellulose acetate with triacetin plasticizer. However, the applicant states in a description of prior art in paragraph [0002] that, "Typically, a filter element comprises cellulose

Application/Control Number: 10/600,712

Art Unit: 1791

acetate tow plasticized using triacetin...". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill to plasticize cellulose acetate using triacetin.

19. Regarding claims 77 and 89, the applicant indicates that the tacky nature of the

inner surface of the cavity is a result of the triacetin plasticizer "or other components of

the fitler" (paragraph 0059). Because it is well known to make filters out of cellulose

acetate plasticized using triacetin as discussed in the rejection of claim 62, it would

have been obvious that such a typical filter material would have had an inherently tacky

inner surface. In addition, Irby et al. teach the use of adhesives such as starches to

adhere capsules (col. 4, 1-20).

20. Claims 63, 73, 74, 80, 84, and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Irby Jr. et al. (US 3,390,686) and Dorsey (US 5,549,124) as applied

to claims 61 and 68 above, in further view of Arterbery et al. (US 5,365,951). Irby et al.

indicate the need for the filter materials to be resilient and can be made of treated

cellulose acetate tow. However, Irby et al. does not disclose using steam bonding to

treat cellulose acetate. Arterbery et al. teach that cellulose acetate tow, plasticized with

triacetin, can be steam bonded to make a material strong enough to not need a paper

wrapper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention that one could use the teaching of Arterbery et al. of a toughened filter

material in the invention of Irby et al. because Irby et al. teaches the possible need for

treating cellulose acetate to improve its properties.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/600,712 Page 8

Art Unit: 1791

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL J. FELTON whose telephone number is (571)272-4805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 AM to 4:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1791 /Eric Hug/ Primary Examiner